

David J. Miclean (CSB# 115098/[miclean@fr.com](mailto:miclean@fr.com))  
Christina D. Jordan (CSB# 245944/[cjordan@fr.com](mailto:cjordan@fr.com))  
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
500 Arguello St., Suite 500  
Redwood City, CA 94063  
Telephone: (650) 839-5070  
Facsimile: (650) 839-5071

Attorneys for Defendants  
BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. and BANK OF  
AMERICA TECHNOLOGY AND  
OPERATIONS, INC.

Robert A. Spanner, Esq. (CSB# 60308/[ras@techtriallaw.com](mailto:ras@techtriallaw.com))  
TRIAL & TECHNOLOGY LAW GROUP  
A Professional Corporation  
545 Middlefield Road, Suite 220  
Menlo Park, CA 94025  
Telephone: (650) 324-2223  
Facsimile: (650) 324-0178

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
IP SOLUTIONS, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
(SAN JOSE DIVISION)

IP SOLUTIONS, INC., a Delaware  
corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., a National  
Banking Association Member; BANK OF  
AMERICA TECHNOLOGY AND  
OPERATIONS, INC., a Delaware  
corporation,

Defendants.

Case No. 07-CV-2774 (JF)

**AMENDED STIPULATION AND  
[PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME  
IN WHICH DEFENDANTS MAY  
RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT**

TO THE COURT, TO ALL PARTIES, AND TO THE PARTIES' ATTORNEYS OF  
RECORD:

1 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between Plaintiff, IP SOLUTIONS,  
2 INC. ("Plaintiff"), and Defendants, BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. and BANK OF AMERICA  
3 TECHNOLOGY AND OPERATIONS, INC. ("Defendants"), that Defendants be granted an  
4 additional nineteen (19) days in which to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint in the above-captioned  
5 matter.

6 Based on this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order, the date by which Defendants must respond  
7 to Plaintiff's Complaint shall be extended to Tuesday, July 31, 2007.

8 IT IS FURTHER HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between Plaintiff and  
9 Defendants that the time period for noticing a motion filed in response to the Complaint for hearing  
10 pursuant to Local Rule 7-2 shall be extended to forty-two (42) days after service of the motion.

11 This Stipulation is not entered into for purposes of delay, and will not alter the date of any  
12 event or any deadline already fixed by Court order. By entering into this stipulation, neither  
13 Plaintiffs nor Defendants waive any rights, claims or defenses they may have in this action.

14 The Stipulation may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature, each of which,  
15 when executed, shall be an original and all of which together shall constitute one and the same  
16 stipulation. This Stipulation contains the entire agreement among the parties. The undersigned  
17 hereby consent to the terms set forth in the foregoing Stipulation.

18 IT IS SO STIPULATED AND AGREED.

19 Dated: July 27, 2007

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

20  
21 By: /s/ David J. Miclean

22 David J. Miclean

23 Attorneys for Defendants  
24 BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. and BANK OF  
25 AMERICA TECHNOLOGY AND  
26 OPERATIONS, INC.

27 ///

28 ///

///

Dated: July 27, 2007

TRIAL AND TECHNOLOGY LAW GROUP

By: /s/ Robert A. Spanner

Robert A. Spanner

Attorney for Plaintiff  
IP SOLUTIONS, INC.

## DECLARATION OF CONSENT

Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from Robert A. Spanner.

Dated: July 27, 2007

FISH &amp; RICHARDSON P.C.

By: /s/ David J. Miclean

David J. Miclean

Attorneys for Defendants  
BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. and BANK OF  
AMERICA TECHNOLOGY AND  
OPERATIONS, INC.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2007

HONORABLE JEREMY FOGEL  
JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

50428953.doc